

UNITED S ES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
.•	09/902,023	7/16/2001	WALTER H. MA	WBY 2051-00101
				EXAMINER
: .		the second of th	a) La tur	KHOW TRAN
• . • •	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	the Allen Williams Commence		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER
`				3634 14
N : 3 4 -				NTE MAILED:
		INTERV	IEW SUMMARY	, , ,
All particip	pants (applicant, applica	ant's representative, PTO personne	to grant that the second of	(a) See A. Se
(1) <u>me</u>	DANIEL P.	570 DO CA (PTO)	(3) MS MARCE	11A D. WATKINS (APP)
(2)_me.	KHOA TRA	N (PTO)		of the season of the control of the first of the control of the co
Date of In	•	10/30/03	के अब पुरुष राजना सक्ता । जा । जार ा १० असीरा न क्षण भी गाँ के जानक । जी	politica de la profesio de la competitate de participación de actual la competitación de la competit la competitación de la competit
Type: 🔲	Telephonic L Persor	nal (copy is given to applicant	applicant's representative).	The second of the second of the second
Exhibit sho	own or demonstration c	onducted: Yes No If yes, I	orief description:	
<u> </u>			•	भग जिल्ला वर्षा करणा है है। अंग अनुस्थान सम्बद्धिकारीय
Aareemen	t 🗆 was reached. 🖄	, was not reached	a filegy Samo	This product is the second of
	iscussed: CLA	•		Salting the second seco
	iscussed: CCA;		The state of the s	
	on of prior art discussed		•	
	•	<i>y</i>	And the second s	
	T			the state of the s
	-	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Programme and the second secon
	-	of what was agreed to if an agreem	ent was reached, or any other con	nments: APPLICANT CLARIF
	-	of what was agreed to if an agreem	ent was reached, or any other con	nments: APPLICANT CLARIF
	-	of what was agreed to if an agreem	ent was reached, or any other con	nments: APPLICANT CLARIF
	-	of what was agreed to if an agreem IST TUNWOL WAILS ALL APOSE AMENDMEN OD STEWAL AT AL	ent was reached, or any other con UD ZND TUNNITUMA T TO CLARIFY (ST	METER DRAWINGS OF
	OUIDE AM PR	of what was agreed to if an agreem IST TUNWOL WAILS ALL APOSE AMENDMEN OD STEWAL AT AL	ent was reached, or any other con UD ZWD TUNNIGE WA T TO CLARIFY (ST	nments: APPLICANT CLARIFICANT IS
Description WHAT TO PL TN OA Pa.S	OUIDE AND PRODUCES	of what was agreed to if an agreem IST TUNWOL WAILS ALL APOSE AMENDMEN O STEWART OT AL	ent was reached, or any other con OD ZWD TUNAIGE WA TO CLARIFY (ST AND PROVIDE A	nments: APPLICANT CLARIFICANT IS A ZNO TUNNEL WAL BETTER DRAWINGS OF
Description WHAT TO PA TN OA Pa G A fuller de nust be att	COUST! TUTES A COUST! TUTE A COUST!	of what was agreed to if an agreem IST TUNNOL WAILS AND MENTED AMERICAN ALL AMERICA	ent was reached, or any other con OD ZWD TUNNIGE WAS TO CLARIFY (ST AND PROVIDE A	ments: APPLICANT CLARIFICANT IS A ZNO TUNNEL WAL BETTER DRAWINGS OF
Description WHAT TO PA Fa.G. (A fuller de nust be attached.)	COUST! TUTES A COUST! TUTE A COUST!	of what was agreed to if an agreem IST TUNWOL WAILS ALL AME OF A	ent was reached, or any other con OD ZWD TUNNIGL WE TO CLARIFY (ST AND PROVIDE A available, which the examiner agreeled render the claims allowable is	ments: APPLICANT CLARIFICANT IS A ZNO FUNNEL WAL BETTER DRAW WGS OF ed would render the claims allowable available, a summary thereof must be
Description WHAT TO PA TN OA Pa G A fuller de nust be attached)	OUIDE AN PRODUCTION OF THE PRO	and a copy of the amendments, if a copy of the amendments which we cant to provide a separate record of	ent was reached, or any other con OD ZWD TUNAIGL WA TO CLARIFY (ST AND PROVIDE A available, which the examiner agree and render the claims allowable is the substance of the interview.	ments: APPLICANT CLARIFA LLS APPLICANT IS A ZNO FUNNEL WAL BETTER DRAWINGS OF ed would render the claims allowable available, a summary thereof must be
Pa.G. A fuller denust be attached) Unless the S NOT Watction has	CONSTITUTES A CO	and a copy of the amendments, if a copy of the amendments which we can to provide a separate record of the conclude the substance of the conclude the conclud	ent was reached, or any other con OD ZND TUNNIGL WA TO CLARIFY (ST AND PROVIDE A available, which the examiner agre- uld render the claims allowable is the substance of the interview trary. A FORMAL WRITTEN RES. NTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section I FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE	ed would render the claims allowable available, a summary thereof must be
Pa.G. A fuller denust be attached. It is r Unless the S NOT WA ction has substanted. Substanted Substanted Since rejection the interpretation of the	coustinature of the general nature of the general nature of COUSTITUTES AND PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE INTERVIEW of the Examiner's intervitions and requirements insidered to fulfill the restreview unless box 1 and retriew unless box 1 and re	and a copy of the amendments, if a copy of the amendments which we cant to provide a separate record of the concurrence of the	ent was reached, or any other con OD ZWD TUNNIGL WE TO CLARIFY (ST AND PROVIDE A available, which the examiner agree and reacher the claims allowable is the substance of the interview. trary. A FORMAL WRITTEN RES. NTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section I FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE attachments) reflects a complete receation, and since the Mains are	ed would render the claims allowable available, a summary thereof must be
Description WHAT TO PA TAI OA Pa G A fuller de nust be attached) It is r Unless the S NOT WA action has substance is count the ir	coustinature of the general nature of the general nature. COUST! TUTES A PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF THE INTERVIEW of the Examiner's intervitions and requirements insidered to fulfill the restrictions unless box 1 a	and a copy of the amendments, if a copy of the amendments which we cant to provide a separate record of the copy of the SUBSTANCE OF THE COPY	ent was reached, or any other con OD ZND TUNNITUME TO CLARIFY IST AND PROVIDE A Ivailable, which the examiner agree old render the claims allowable is the substance of the interview Itary. A FORMAL WRITTEN RESINTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section I FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE attachments) reflects a complete rice action, and since the claims are ce action. Applicant is not relieved.	ed would render the claims allowable available, a summary thereof must be rounded to file a statement of the objections, a now allowable, this completed form of from providing a separate record of the objections.
Description WHAT TO PA TAL OA Pa.S. (A fuller de must be attached) I. It is r Unless the S NOT WA action has soluBSTANC C. Since rejecting the interpretation of the contraction	an of the general nature of the general nature of CONSTITUTES. CONSTITUTES AND PLANTING AND AUGUST TO AUGUST AND AUGUST NO AU	and a copy of the amendments, if a copy of the amendments which we cant to provide a separate record of the concurrence of the	ent was reached, or any other con OD ZND TUNNICL WA TO CLARIFY (ST AND PROVIDE A Evaluation of the examiner agree and reaction, and since the claims are ce action. Applicant is not relieved another form.	ed would render the claims allowable available, a summary thereof must be roughly for a STATEMENT OF THE LAST OFFICE ACTION 713.04). If a response to the last Office TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE esponse to each of the objections, a now allowable, this completed form

Manual of Patent Examining Procedure, Section 713.04 Substance of Interview must Be Made of Record

A complete written statement as to the substance of <u>any</u> face-to-face or telephone <u>interview</u> with regard to an application <u>must be made of record in the application</u> whether or not an agreement with the examiner was reached at the interview.

§1.133 Interviews

(b) In every instance where reconsideration is requested in view of an interview with an examiner, a complete written statement of the reasons presented at the interview as warranting favorable action must be <u>filed</u> by the applicant. An interview does not remove the necessity for response to Office action as specified in §§ 1.111,1.135. (35 U.S.C.132)

§ 1.2. Business to be transacted in writing. All business with the Patent or Trademark Office should be transacted in writing. The personal attendance of applicants or their attorneys or agents at the Patent and Trademark Office is unnecessary. The action of the Patent and Trademark Office will be based exclusively on the written record in the Office. No attention will be paid to any alleged oral promise, stipulation, or understanding in relation to which there is disagreement or doubt.

The action of the Patent and Trademark Office cannot be based exclusively on the written record in the Office if that record is itself incomplete through the failure to record the substance of interviews.

It is the responsibility of the applicant or the attorney or agent to make the substance of an interview of record in the application file, unless the examiner indicates he or she will do so. It is the examiner's responsibility to see that such a record is made and to correct material inaccuracies which bear directly on the question of patentability.

Examiners must complete a two-sheet carbon interteal Interview Summary Form for each interview held after January 1, 1978 where a matter of substance has been discussed during the interview by checking the appropriate boxes and filling in the blanks in neat handwritten form using a ball point pen. Discussions regarding only procedural matters, directed solely to restriction requirements for which interview recordation is otherwise provided for in Section 812.01 of the Manual of Patent Examining Procedure, or pointing out typographical errors or unreadable script in Office actions or the like, are excluded from the interview recordation procedures below.

The Interview Summary Form shall be given an appropriate paper number, placed in the right hand portion of the file, and listed on the "Contents" list on the file wrapper. The docket and serial register cards need not be updated to reflect interviews. In a personal interview, the duplicate copy of the Form is removed and given to the applicant (or attorney or agent) at the conclusion of the interview. In the case of a telephonic interview, the copy is mailed to the applicant's correspondence address either with or prior to the next official communication. If additional correspondence from the examiner is not likely before an allowance or if other circumstances dictate, the Form should be mailed promptly after the telephonic interview rather than with the next official communication.

The Form provides for recordation of the following information:

- Serial Number of the application
- -Name of applicant .
- -Name of examiner
- -Date of interview
- -Type of interview (personal or telephonic)
- -Name of participant(s)) (applicant, attorney or agent, etc.)
- -An indication whether or not an exhibit was shown or a demonstration conducted
- -An identification of the claims discussed
- -An identification of the specific prior art discussed
- -An indication whether an agreement was reached and if so, a description of the general nature of the agreement (may be by attachment of a copy of amendments or claims agreed as being allowable). (Agreements as to allowability are tentative and do not restrict further action by the examiner to the contrary.)
- -The signature of the examiner who conducted the interview
- -Names of other Patent and Trademark Office personnel present.

The Form also contains a statement reminding the applicant of his responsibility to record the substance of the interview.

It is desireable that the examiner orally remind the applicant of his obligation to record the substance of the interview in each case unless both applicant and examiner agree that the examiner will record same. Where the examiner agrees to record the substance of the interview, or when it is adequately recorded on the Form or in an attachment to the Form, the examiner should check a box at the bottom of the Form informing the applicant that he need not supplement the Form by submitting a separate record of the substance of the interview.

It should be noted, however, that the Interview Summary Form witl not normally be considered a complete and proper recordation of the interview unless it includes, or is supplemented by the applicant or the examiner to include, all of the applicable items required below concerning the substance of the interview:

A complete and proper recordation of the substance of any interview should include at least the following applicable items:

- 1) A brief description of the nature of any exhibit shown or any demonstration conducted,
- 2) an identification of the claims discussed,
- 3) an identification of specific prior art discussed,

4) an identification of the principal proposed amendments of a substantive nature discussed, unless these are already described on the Interview Summary Form completed by the examiner,

5) a brief identification of the general thrust of the principal arguments presented to the examiner. The identification of arguments need not be lengthy or elaborate. A verbatim or highly detailed description of the arguments is not required. The identification of the arguments is sufficient if the general nature or thrust of the principal arguments made to the examiner can be understood in the context of the application file. Of course, the applicant may desire to emphasize and fully describe those arguments which he feels were or might be persuasive to the examiner.

6) a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed, and

7) if appropriate, the general results or outcome of the interview unless already described in the Interview Stimmary Form completed by the examiner.

Examiners are expected to carefully review the applicant's record of the substance of an interview. If the record is not complete or accurate, the examiner will give the applicant one month from the date of the notifying letter or the remainder of any period for response, whichever is longer, to complete the response and thereby avoid abandonment of the application (37 CFR 1.135(c)).

Examiner to Check for Accuracy

Applicant's summary of what took place at the interview should be carefully checked to determine the accuracy of any argument or statement attributed to the examiner during the interview. If there is an inaccuracy and it bears directly on the question of patentability, it should be pointed out in the next Office letter. If the claims are allowable for other reasons of record, the examiner should send a letter setting forth his or her version of the statement attributed to him. If the record is complete and accurate, the examiner should place the indication "Interview record OK" on the paper recording the substance of the interview along with the date and the examiner's initials.